prescribe

App. F. IX-4

Previous References

1927.
(Jongrai -)

File No. 272-27

Serial Nos. 1—4

Later References

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 4

Part B for November 1928.

SUBJECT

Recommends the removal from the list of Inamgrai distributions and handed over to the Villagers for management the Sri Lakshmi Narayana Swamy temple at Inamgrahale

---

Dr 1025 dated 28.11.25

To the Inam. Comr

States that the distributions may be handed over except the lands belonging to the temple, & continued as Inams

Mer file 272-27-1

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

D.730

Letter from the Inc Comr. in Mysore recommending the resumption of the inam lands endowed to Sri Lakshmi Narayana Devasthanam temple at Ranjagalale in the Nagar Taluk, and the grant instead, of a cash inam equivalent to the jari, Rs 9-8-0 for the continuance of Service ole in the temple.

This will be put up with the general file regarding which the M. of S report has been received to-day. It is under being looked up.

Submitted

12.12.27

12/12/27

3

This may perhaps be done

Yes CJ

MW 15/12

Put up with D.759 5.12.

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 272 of 1927-28.

Serial No.

The General Section has been disposed of. The file is submitted for orders.

BV
15.2.28

BG
15/2/28

It is stated in the D.O. letter that the Village Panchayat are agreeable to the arrangement. This may perhaps be sometimes. The Branch may advise the Committee

and Members

FEB. 1928
No. 4607

28/2
15/2

If this proposal is carried out, the result will be a cash outlay to be borne by Govt, and not set off against it as the lands cannot be expected to be taken up on payment of any assessment. This is a one sided arrangement which cannot be defended. Are there any precedents for assuming lands because they cannot grant anything substantial when owners themselves are willing and giving in steed cash grants?

NOTE.—Contn. sheet

WD 0324—GPB—60,000—24-5 27

D 5218/1132V

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. Mem2 File No. 272 of 1927-28

Serial No. 6

All possible attempt was made. No precedents ordered in para 8 containing members minutes, are readily traceable

Submitted

M 8/3/28 8/3/28

(10) In para 267 of the Land Manual it is stated that if an inam land is wanted unfit for cultivation Merely that the act of Government, the grant of such inam is to be attended to not. permissible. In this Case a Cash inam is proposed in lieu of land inam as there is no chance of the land being cultivated & the temple deriving any income therefrom. If the rule stated above is strictly applied no such grant of even Cash grant seems possible.

(11) If the land is resumed, & it is possible that it may come handy by such as this Govt Department grant of any money out of the cash realisations may perhaps be considered then.

(12) A Govt Branch may also be consulted

Govt Member

(13) A yes

5/3

5097

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0324—GPB—60,000—24 5-27

Govt Br

11-3-28

W 3 M

4

General and Revenue Secretariat. Mad File No. 272 of 1927-28

(14) Serial No.

14) Subd In the present case in which there is no precedent (there are only two courses open 1) either to resume the Inam lands and sell them by auction the sale proceeds being made over to the temple once for all, without fixing any ground rent, or 2) to authorise the Rev and Mzg rai Commr to grant some lands for reduced upset price in lieu of the present lands which are unfit. This is in accordance with the G.O No R 6839--49/ LR 308-27-2 d 1.3.1928 passing order re grant of lands required for the upkeep of Masjids & temples. kns 14.3.28 with reference to the 11th Member's minute at para 13 supra.

7600/15/3

A

2nd Member 18/14/3

15) Please submit d and f. —

Ldc 15/3

5298/17.3.

Ld Member 15/3

16 The position vide my Note dy 18.2.28 - and the alternatives suggested by Rev Dept may be communicated to Mzg Cr and his further views called for

17/3/28

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

To Mzg Br — (with Compts) dy 19/3

WD 0324—GPB—60,000—24-5-27

General and Revenue Secretariat. Mucr File No. 272 of 1927-28

Serial No.

Mug Br

19/3

17 Adm W 20/3

18 Dft Submr

M. 3. 28. 26/3/28

19 Dom 27/3

2. To. Mur Collr no. 1730 dt 30.9.28 calls for opinion on the alternative suggestions made in the matter

Subs 26/11

3. From, Mr M.C.

Letter no. 329 dt 29.10.28.

Reports that as neither of the alternatives suggested in Sl. 2 is agreed to by the local officers, the best plan seems to be to cease to treat the temple as a Munzrai institn and hand it over to the villagers as ~~from~~ proposed by the D—C.

To Mr M.C's letter explains the facts of the case.

Submr for orders

NOTE—Contn sheet

WD 0324—GRB—60,000—24-5 27

16.11.28

P.T.O

Serial No.

2) The temple of Sri Lakshmi-Narayana Devaru is reported to be in a good condition. The recommendation was for an annual jari of Rs 9-8-0 for the Service etc. in the temple in lieu of its inam lands proposed to be resumed as they are banjar. Certain alternatives were proposed and the M.C. recommends that as they are not agreed to by the local officers, the temple may be removed from the list of muz. insms. & handed over to Villages.

22) The general question wherein proposals for providing for worship in such insms. is now under the active consideration of Govt., and this case may perhaps be disposed of after orders are passed thereon—

Submr.
AV
16-11-28.
16/11/28

The M.C's recommendation seems to be [illegible]

23) The recommendation of the Hony Secy in the last sentence of his letter may perhaps be approved. The Villagers may manage & enjoy the lands. The Village Panchayat if any

NOTE—Contn. sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No | of 192

Serial No.

FINS
17 NOV. 1928
No. 3019

May take this up, if the revenue authorities find it difficult to find suitable means of disposal of the land & improvement of the revenue therefrom

1st Member 17/11

24) The M C's proposal in the last para of his letter may be sd The land may Continue to be the Inam of the temple. It may fetch Something Sometime hence. The Management of the temple may be made over to the Villagers

Asst 18/11/28

25) Sd 15/11

26 D/S. Submitted

22-11-28

27 Sd 27/11

NOTE – Contn. sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat

App. F. III—2]

mur File No. 272 of 1928. 8-28

Serial No. 4

To. mur Coen^r
no 1025 dy

States that the
water may be handed over
to the villagers for manage-
ment that the land
will be cond as much
as it is likely to fetch
good income sometime a

NOTE.—Contn. sheet

WD 021—GPB—30,000—21.8.28

No. C 329/27-28 Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.
Two enclosures + one file

Dated Bangalore, 3rd December 1927.

From

P. G. D'Souza, Esq., P.A., B.L.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.



D 730

Muzrai 272-27-1

To

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of His

Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Financial Department.

Sir,

I have the honor to state that Sri Lakshminarayana Devaru temple at Manjagalale a hamlet, belonging to Kanakodumane village in the Nagar Taluk, is a village Muzrai institution endowed with two bagayet S.Nos. viz. S.No.17 and S.No.18 measuring 0-38 and 0-13 and assessed at Rs.7/8/- and Rs.2/- respectively. The temple is enjoying these lands as ---- Sarvamanya paying only the cesses due on them.

As the lands are situated in the midst of thick jungle and are of inferior quality the vahivatdar and the archak of the temple in -- whose possession they were for a long time resigned them in 1923 and they could not be leased out thereafter as no bidders came forward to take them up when the lease was advertised for sale on 30th November 1923. The Deputy Commissioner Shimoga District therefore recommended in his letter No.Muz.1 A.C.1078/26-7 dated 3rd September 1927 (copy -- enclosed) the resumption of these lands and the grant of a cash inam - equivalent to the jari of Rs.9-8-0 for the continuance of service etc., in the temple as it is reported to be in good condition.

As no mention of these lands had been made in the Quit-rent -- register of the Shimoga District a copy of the inam register was called for from the Survey Superintendent. From the Inam register extract -- received from the Survey Superintendent and enclosed herewith it will - be seen that this land inam was cancelled in 1880 and a cash payment - of Rs.7-9-0 was sanctioned. But this order was modified on 28-3-1917 and the lands were confirmed free as per Tarāu Patrik of 1892. The

The inam settlement of 1917 shows beyond doubt that this is a devadaya grant and therefore in view of the circumstances of the case reported - by the Deputy Commissioner in his letter dated 3rd September 1927 above referred to, I request you to be so good as to obtain sanction of ----- Government to resume the lands and give instead a cash grant equal to the Jari amount viz. Rs.9-8-0.

The vernacular records are herewith submitted.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

Letter No.Muz.1.A.C.1078/26-27 dated 3rd September 1927 from the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Resumption - Inam lands Sri Lakshminarayana Devaru at Manjagalale - Nagar Taluk.

"In forwarding herewith the records ending with memo No.O.C.846/24-25 dated 31st July 1927 from the Sub Division Officer Sagar Sub Division regarding the above, I have the honor to state that the temple which is a village Muzrai institution is endowed with inam lands of the marginally noted description. They are sarvamanya and hence only cesses are payable to the Government. The lands were formarly in the possession and enjoyment of Lakshminarayanappa the vahivatdar and the archak of the temple. He gave up the cultivation of these lands for the reason that they were situated in the midst of a thick jungle and that its annual yield was not worth the trouble involved. In 1923 the right of cultivation there of was advertised for sale where no body came forward to take up the lease. The records reveal that both the archak and the villagers are agreeable to the proposal of resuming the inam lands to Government and of sanctioning a cash grant instead equal to the

	Extent.	Assessment.
S.No.17	0-38	7- 8- 0
" 18	0-13	2- 0- 0
	1-11	9- 8- 0

the jari amount. The Amildar of Nagar as well as the Sub Division -- Officer of Sagar recommend sanction to the proposal. In the circumstances reported the orders of the Government may kindly be obtained for changing the tenure of the lands from inam to sircar.

The temple is a village Muzrai institution. The temple building is stated to be in a state of repairs, and worship regularly conducted therein. In the circumstance a cash grant equal to the jari amount -- viz., Rs.9-8-0 may kindly be sanctioned, as recommended by the Sub ----- Division Officer."

"C o p y"

For Muzrai Commissioner.

Register Sheet No. 35

Taluk - Nagar

Village - Kanakodumane
Matakoppa

Copy of the Register of Inams in the village of Kaveakodu name etc.

CLASS, EXTENT AND VALUE OF INAM

Number of case or entire holding under one grant in this village.	General class to which the Inam belongs	Survey No. and name of field or fields comprised in the grant. Dry, wet or garden	Extent	
			Local measure. Even where the local measure is in acres, guntas, and annas, it is to be entered in this column	Measure in acre and their fra in decimals
1	2	3	4	5
		Jari account 1832		
2	Devadaya	Garden	Dayas 450	1.25
	Littas 1848 to 71 + Pahanies 1871			
Wang No 4	Fields No. 1	Extent C.C.P 1	Dayas 750	Benz R. A. P 35-9-9
	Wangdar's name Venkataramana + Vydya.			

Sammat of Jaca in the Taluk of

		DESCRIPTION, TENURE AND	
Old assessment	Survey assessment	Description of Inam If for service, it is to be stated whether the service is continued If for tanks, buildings, etc, whether they are efficiently kept up Hereditary or for life	Whether free of tax, etc, or liable to quit-rent, i.e, jodi, etc, amount of latter to be entered
Where no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government, the cess paid by the raiyats to the Inamdar, or the average assessment of similar Government land, should be entered in column 7			
6	7	8	9
15-8-1	—	For the Support of the temple which is said to be in good order and puje performed.	7-15-1
Ottar 7-9-0			

DOCUMENT IN SUPPORT OF THE INAM

By whom granted and in what year	Written instruments in support of the claim, original sannad, all miscellaneous documents, and other proofs of long possession. In this column it should be stated whether the Inam is held with or without grant, whether the grant is produced or lost, etc., and the general specification of terms of all documents produced should be given.
10	11

of

NAME AND RELATIONSHIP OF ORIGINAL GRANTEE AND		
Name of original grantee	Name entered in the register prepared by Poorniah up to 1810	Name entered in the accounts subsequent to 1810
12	13	14
—	Jari account 1802 Lakshminarayana — dewar Rs 24 - 15 - 4 Deduct Nasta Rs 9 x - 7 - 3 — Balance Rs 15 - 8 - 1 Pankiah Sarkar Rs 7 - 15 - 1 Jari Rs 7 - 9 - 0	Entries not legible

x This is said to be Sist Nasta and no Land can be pointed out for the Same

of the

OF SUBSEQUENT AND PRESENT HOLDERS

Particulars regarding present owner. If the main has been subdivided, the name, etc., of each sharer should be entered in the columns

Residence, name and age, etc.	Relation to original grantee or subsequent registered holders	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent	If unconnected with the original holders, possession how obtained, and in what year
15	16	17	18
Hosa name.			
Lakshminarayana Eswara		—	—
Venkataramana vydya enjoys the Inam			

Division

LENGTH OF POSSESSION

Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner or the Superintendent of the Division on lapses regarding validity or excess of the Inam. Assistant's opinion and recommendation.	Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner
19	20

This Inam exists in the Poojaris own Warg. although its position is unknown the wangdar and the Poojari being the Same, I recommend that the Inam in col. 4 may be confirmed on the existing jodi viz Rs 7-15-0

(Sd) C. Subba Rao
Asst.

15.1.72

Re grant
(Illegible) J.P.

Warg and Inam Same.

I Warg and Inam Same - jari - Cultivated Sist Wasta for which there is no land - deducted viz 9.7.3.

Balance Rs 15-8-1

Deduct Sirkari or Pagadi & jodi } 7-15-1

Net jari enjoyed by Inamdar } 7-9-0

Land viz 450 dayas as in col. 4 Confirmed to Inamdar Subject to a Pagadi & jodi of Rs 7-15-0 only

(Sd) J. Pandita

14.1.--

GPB—1,000 books cl 2 sheets each—9 8-06.

vide orders on the Sur. Supdt's Letter No. 1059 of the 27th Nov. 1879.

The Confirmation of this Inam in land is Cancelled and Cash payment of Rs 7-9-0 Confirmed

(Sd) R.A Cole
Supdt of Inam Settlt.

18.2.80.

1. vide Shara wadi No 2903, 2904 and 2905 dated 16th June 1915 from the Survey Supdt in Mysore Stating that the Malnad Cash Inams in the Nagar Taluk referred to in G.O. No. 5192/61 dated 14th December 1887 are being enjoyed as land inam and Stating that the Dy Comm^r of the Shimoga Dist has also agreed that they should be treated as land inams in the Inam Records So as to tally with the existing Conditions as per Jarab Patik of 1892 with no jodi or quit-rent as the same had been dedicated while Confirming the Cash inams.

2. The order of Confirmation of Cash payment which has not been carried out is Cancelled and the Lands as per Jarab Patik of 1892 Confirmed from

(Sd) D.K Daradhar
Supdt of Inam Settlts
28.3.17

compared "Copy"
15.11.27 for Superintendent.

A. P/F. VI. G1]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section Mur

File No. 272-27

Serial No. 2

No. 1730 & one file

Section

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192

To The Muz. Coll.

Date of issue 30/3/28

Signed by J.A.S. Fyll

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No. C.329 dt 5th Dec. 1927 recommending the resumption of the inam lands endowed to Sri Lakshmi Narayana Dasara temple at Manja-Salale in the Nagar Taluq, and the Grant ~~endowed to the temple~~ of a cash inam equal to the jari on the lands, I am directed to ~~resume~~ state that

List of enclosures.....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

over

~~if the~~ The above recommendation made
is considered as ~~Cd~~ the result will be with regard to payment of a
cash outlay to be borne
by Govt ~~and~~ no set off will be made in as much as
~~against it~~ The Lands
cannot be expected to be
taken up and to pay any
assessment. I am ~~accordingly~~ therefore to suggest the adoption of either of the
~~to state that there are only~~ following courses.
~~two courses open:~~
(1) either to resume the
Miam lands and sell them
by auction, & credit the sale proceeds
~~being made over~~ to the temple
once for all, without giving it
any cash grant, or
(2) to authorize ~~both~~
~~you and~~ the Rev. Comr
to grant some lands
for reduced upset price
in lieu of the present
lands which are unfit
in accordance with G.O. no
R. 6839-49/D.R. 308-27-
dy 1st March 1928

G. R. S. G/33]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

I am accordingly to request that you will take further action in the above line, and report the result for orders as soon as possible.

I have etc.

Secretary

Would you kindly let me have as early as possible your further views in the matter in the alternatives suggested above.

Yours sincerely

27/3

Rd 26/3/28

Copy to the Revenue Member for information

B-O.

Secretary

WD 3330—GTB—1 00 000—33 7 20

M. C.-13 J

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore.....26th October.....192 8.

No. 329 Inam Bill 27-8.

REV SECRETARIAT NOV 1928 MUZRAI 660

mur 272-27-3

FROM

P. G. D'Souza, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To

B. Srinivasa Iy-ngar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial Department.

SIR,

Adverting to your letter No.1730/Muz.272-27-2 dated 30th March 1928 calling for my further views, after considering the alternatives suggested in the above letter in connection with the resumption of -- Inam lands endowed to the Sri Lakshminarayanaswamy Devaru temple at -- Manjagalale in the Nagar Taluk and the grant of a cash inam to the -- temple equal to the jari on the lands etc., I have the honor to forward herewith copy of letter No.Muz.A.C.107d/26-27 dated 20-9-28 together with the connected records received from the Deputy Commissioner --- Shimoga District and to state as follows:-

Manjagalale is not a prosperous village, situated as it is on the borders of the Kadachadri Hills, in the depopulated area. There prospect of the extension of cultivation in this village as most of the lands are lying banjar. In view of the facts that the banjar bagayat lands of the temple can only be brought under cultivation at great cost and that the lands are lying fallow for a very long time - the Sub Division Officer reports that it is doubtful, even if they are auctioned, whether there would be any bids forthcoming at all.

As regards the second alternative viz., that of granting at -- upset price some other lands in lieu of the present lands, which are - unfit for cultivation, the Amildar, Nagar Taluk, in his report dated - 30-8-1928 (enclosed in the file) states that this does not help as the population is thin -and labour is scarce and consequently a large -----

large extent of wet and garden lands under occupation is lying uncultivated.

The Deputy Commissioner states that in the circumstances of the case the only course left is to cancel the inam tenure of the lands and sell them in public auction and credit the sale proceeds, if any at all, to the temple and that if the lands do not fetch any amount in the sale the temple will cease to be a Muzrai institution as it has not got any other endowment and solicits orders as to whether a cash grant equal to the sale proceeds of the lands would be sanctioned to the temple or the institution may be handed over to the villagers for making their own arrangements.

Since neither of the alternatives suggested by Government is agreed to by the local officers, I submit the matter for the orders of Government. The best plan seems to be to cease to treat the temple as a muzrai institution and hand it over to the villagers as proposed by the Deputy Commissioner.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

R. Narasimha Ayyangar

Assistant Commissioner
for Muzrai Commissioner.
(absent from Station)

A. P. F. VI. GI]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Letter No.Muz.A.C.1073/26-27 dated 20th September 1928 from the Deputy Commissioner Shimoga District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore. 15

Inam lands resumption-Lakshmidevamma Award at Nanjagalal -Nagar Taluk.

Adverting to your correspondence ending with your D.O.Letter No.C. 329/27-28 dated 11th September 1928 re the above, I have the honor to -- forward herewith the records ending with memo No.A.C.76/26-27 dated 23rd August 1928 from the Sub Division Officer, Sagar Sub Division.

The Amildar, Nagar has stated in his detailed report dated 20th - August 1928 that the lands being situate in a depopulated tract, there is no use of trying the second proposal contained in the Financial ---- Secretary's letter, viz., to grant some other assessed lands at reduced upset price. As opined by the Amildar, the only course left -- open is to cancel the inam tenure of the lands under reference and to -- credit the sale proceeds if any to the temple when they are sold under -- Darkhast rules. If the lands do not fetch any amount in the sale, the temple will cease to be a muzrai institution since it owns no other --- independents from Government. It is a matter for orders whether a cash grant equal to the jara amount is to be sanctioned to the temple or the

the institution be handed over to the villagers for making their own arrangements for puja and other services.

"C o p y"

For Muzrail Commissioner.

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. 10 & 9 - Inc file

Diary No. of letter replied to.....(Illegible)

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

To The Inc

Date of issue.....29/11/28

Signed by.....

List of enclosures.....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

Sir,

Adverting to Correspondence ending with your letter No. 329 & 29.10.28 ~~in the matter of the Resumption of the Siam Lands endowed~~ recommended by Mr Sri Lakshmi Narayana Devasthanam temple at Manjagalale in the Nagar Taluk from the list of Myore Inhms I am directed to convey ~~sanction~~ to the ~~proposal~~ same in the last para of the above Communication

May be removed & handed over to the Mysore Inhms Management

Over

Handed over to the Mysore Inhms Management

WD0926—GPB—30,000—26 5-27

The Land may continue to be held as
The Inam of the temple
and it is likely to prove some income
Some time hence the
management of the temple
may be made over to the
villagers as recommended
by you
(2) the words are
deleted

I have the
honour
Sir
Your most obedient
Servant